

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,758		03/26/2004	Benjamin N. Eldridge	P127C1-US	9082
27520	7590	08/09/2004		EXAMINER	
FORMFA	ACTOR,	INC.	BAYAT, BRADLEY B		
LEGAL D 2140 RES			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LIVERMO	ORE, CA	94550	3621		
				DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\sim 1					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/810,758	ELDRIDGE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bradley Bayat	3621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 M	<u>arch 2004</u> .						
	action is non-final.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 54-63 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 54-63 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 54-63 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of or the	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	□	(DTO 140)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) L Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

Claims 1-24 have been canceled and new claims 54-63 have been added as per applicant's preliminary amendment dated March 26, 2004. Thus, new claims 54-63 are presented for examination on the merits.

Specification

- 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed. The following title is suggested: An automated system for designing and testing a probe card.
- 2. The examiner suggests that the applicant make a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification, even though it appears that the applicant has made a reference in the application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). The specific reference to any prior nonprovisional application must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number.

Claim Objections

Claims 54-63 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Applicant's wording of the claims can be read to denote that the applicant is claiming
a probe card. Instead, the applicant appears to be claiming an automated system. For
example, "An automated system for enabling a customer to design a probe card, said

Application/Control Number: 10/810,758 Page 3

Art Unit: 3621

system comprising: a server coupled to a network... Therefore, the dependent claims would follow "The system of claim..."

- 2. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not). Applicant's original claims were numbered 1-24 and upon canceling those claims in the preliminary amendment dated March 26, 2004, the applicant added new claims beginning with claim 54. The next new claim should have been numbered claim 25.
- 3. Appropriate correction is suggested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 54-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant's claims recite the following limitations, however, there is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim:

- "said prospective customer" line 5 of claim 54.
- "said prospective customer" line 9 of claim 54.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,758 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

• "the acceptability" line 10 of claim 54.

• "the input" line 3 of claim 55.

The examiner recommends that the applicant review each claim to ensure that all claim limitations are sufficiently supported and particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that the applicant regards as the invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 54-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cooke, et al. (hereinafter Cooke), U.S. Patent 6,701,474 B2.

As per the following claims, Cooke discloses:

Claim 54 (New): A probe card designed by an automated system, said system comprising: a server connectable to a network, an application operable with said server to provide functions comprising: receiving over said network from said prospective customer information describing a wafer to be tested, generating from said information-a verification package comprising a proposed design of said probe card for testing said wafer; and

Art Unit: 3621

communicating over said network with said prospective customer regarding the acceptability of said proposed probe card design (figures 1-4 and associated text).

Claim 55 (New): The probe card of Claim 54, wherein said application further provides the function of providing a graphical interface for use by said prospective customer to enable the input of said information describing a wafer to be tested (column 5, lines 1-8).

Claim 56 (New): The probe card of Claim 55, wherein said graphical interface further comprises at least one Web page (column 8).

Claim 57 (New): The probe card of Claim 54, wherein said application further provides the function of accepting an order from said prospective customer to manufacture said proposed probe card design (figure 4 and associated text).

Claim 58 (New): The probe card of Claim 54, wherein said application further provides the function of verifying said proposed probe card design (figures 4,5 and associated text).

Claim 59 (New): The probe card of Claim 54, wherein said verification package further comprises drawings of said proposed probe card design (figures 6,7 and associated text).

Art Unit: 3621

Claim 60 (New): The probe card of Claim 54, wherein said communicating function further comprises notifying said prospective customer of proposed modifications to said proposed probe card design (column 17, line 57-column 18, line 23).

Claim 61 (New): The probe card of Claim 58, wherein said verifying function further comprises simulating operation of said proposed probe card design (column 1, lines 13-34).

Claim 62 (New): The probe card of Claim 58, wherein said verifying function further comprises performing an automated simulation of said proposed probe card design.

Claim 63 (New): The system of Claim 58, wherein said verifying function further comprises performing a simulation based on particular specifications designated by said prospective customer (column 6, lines 40-51).

Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior arts of record in the body of this action for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the response, to consider fully the entire references as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior arts or disclosed by the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,758 Page 7

Art Unit: 3621

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley Bayat whose telephone number is 703-305-8548. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703-305-9768. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bbb

JOHN W. HAYES 'PIMARY EXAMINER